Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–?? (2002) Printed 25 October 2012 (MN LaTeX style file v2.2)

arXiv:1210.6561v1 [astro-ph.SR] 18 Oct 2012

An alternative technique for timing the double pulsar system

Zhu-Xing Liang* and Yi Liang
18-4-102 Shuixiehuadu, Zhufengdajie, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050035,China

ABSTRACT

Freire et al. (2009, MNRAS, 396, 1764) have put forward a technique for timing the double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039A/B (hereafter A and B, respectively). Their technique can be used to determine the sense of rotation of A relative to its orbital plane. In this paper, we present another technique with the same purpose. Two well-known periods, the sidereal day and solar day, are often used to define the spin period of the earth. Their difference is caused by a kinematic effect which correlated with earth's rotation and revolution. We think that this kinematic effect should exist in the double pulsar system and can be used to determine the sense of rotation of the pulsars. Commonly, B's modulation frequency is considered to be equal to A's rotation frequency, because B's signal is modulated by A's energy flux. When the kinematic effect is considered, B's modulation frequency will be, similar to the solar day and sidereal day, a little higher or lower than A's rotation frequency. If this frequency offset can be found, A's rotation sense will be determined and the position of the lighthouse model will be consolidated.

Key words: binaries: general – stars: kinematics and dynamics – techniques: miscellaneous – pulsars: individual (PSR J0737 - 3039A/B).

1 INTRODUCTION

The double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039A/B was discovered eight years ago (Burgay et al. 2003; Lyne et al. 2004). This system consists of a 22-ms pulsar (hereafter A) and a 2.8-s pulsar (hereafter B) with an orbital period of 2.4 hours. This discovery provided a laboratory for the study of relativistic gravity and gravitational radiation. The system has several strange features that challenge the current understanding of pulsars and provides an uncommon opportunity to improve pulsar theories. In this field, one of the most interesting work was presented by Freire et al. (2009) (hereafter F09) where a technique was proposed in timing the double pulsar system. Using their technique, A's rotation sense relative to its orbit can be determined. In this paper, an alternative technique is presented with the same objective. If the validity of the two techniques is confirmed, not only will new insights be gained but also the correctness of the lighthouse model will be confirmed beyond dispute.

2 TECHNIQUE TO DETERMINE THE SENSE OF ROTATION OF A

Although our technique can be used to determine the rotation senses of both A and B, for convenience we consider only the determination for A as an illustration in this section.

Two well-known parameters, the sidereal day and solar day, are often used to define the spin period of the earth. The difference between the two periods is caused by the kinematic effect of earth's rotation and revolution. Because the earth rotates and revolves in the same sense, the solar day is about 4 min longer than the sidereal day. If the earth rotated in the opposite sense relative to its orbit, the solar day would be about 4 min shorter than the sidereal day. A similar relationship should be seen in the PSR J0737-3039A/B binary system if the lighthouse model is correct.

A suppositional extreme case may be helpful to understand the relationships among the rotation, revolution and modulation signals. Assuming both the spin periods of A and B are just equal to their orbital period and both stars rotate in the sense of orbit plane, i.e. synchronous rotation; their emission signals will not modulate each other, because either star can receive only steady energy fluxes from another star. This kinematic effect makes that the modulation

---

* zx.liang55@gmail.com (LZX); jluliangyi@gmail.com (LY)

Table 1. Signal relationships for B's signal modulated by the emission from A.

	lighthouse model		other model
A's rotation sense relative to orbit	same	opposite	arbitrary
modulation period of B p_m	$p_o p_a/(p_o - p_a)$	$p_o p_a/(p_o + p_a)$	p_a [a]
modulation frequency of B f_m	$f_a - 1/p_o$	$f_a + 1/p_o$	f_a
frequency offset $\Delta f = f_m - f_a$	$-1/p_o$	$1/p_o$	0
frequency offset ratio $\alpha = \Delta f/f_a$	-0.00025%	$+0.00025\%$	0

[a] p_o is the orbital period; p_a and f_a are the respective rotation period and frequency of pulsar A.

periods are affected by not only the rotation period of modulation pulsar but also its orbital period and rotation sense.

When B's signal is modulated by A's signal, the kinematic effect makes the signal period of A similar to the sidereal day and the actual modulation period similar to the solar day. Table 1 shows the detail of the signal relationships. Under the lighthouse model, the modulation period p_m is not exactly equal to p_a; there is an offset of about $\pm 0.00025\%$ between p_m and p_a. If A rotates in the sense of the orbit plane, p_m will be slightly longer than p_a (we denote this longer period by p_m^+, and the corresponding lower frequency by f_m^-). In contrast, if A's rotation sense is in the opposite sense relative to its orbital plane, p_m will be slightly shorter than p_a (denoted similarly as p_m^-, with corresponding frequency f_m^+).

If the modulation frequency f_m is exactly equal to A's rotation frequency f_a (denoted by p_m^0 with corresponding frequency f_m^0), the lighthouse model can be ruled out. Thus, simultaneously, the modulation frequency f_m can be used to verify the lighthouse model.

The frequency f_m can be calculated from three expressions (see Table 1), it hence has only three possibilities, f_m^+, f_m^- and f_m^0.

Although the lighthouse model has been widely accepted, there has nevertheless been no direct observational evidence in its support. If the frequency offset can be found or the work of F09 can bring a positive result, the lighthouse model must be taken as factual. However, until the decisive observational evidence is obtained, the possibility that this model is incorrect cannot be eliminated. Therefore, the case represented by the rightmost column of Table 1 remains in contention, and the f_m^0 is still a possibility aside from f_m^- and f_m^+.

Indeed, B's signal is clearly modulated by A's emission (McLaughlin et al. 2004a); therefore, as long as B's data are ample enough, the modulation frequency f_m can be obtained with enough precision.

Spectral analysis can be directly employed to determine the modulation frequency of B. However, because the modulation frequency must be one of the three definite point frequencies, f_m^+, f_m^- and f_m^0, handling the data may be relatively simple.

If $A(f_m)$ is the amplitude of the modulation signal corresponding to modulation frequency f_m, then

$$A(f_m) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}, \tag{1}$$

with

$$a = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y[k] \cos(\frac{2\pi f_m}{f_s} k), \tag{2}$$

$$b = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y[k] \sin(\frac{2\pi f_m}{f_s} k). \tag{3}$$

where $y[k]$ is the kth sample value and f_s is the sampling frequency. Using the three values of f_m^+, f_m^- and f_m^0, three amplitude values for the modulation signal can be obtained. By comparing these values, the real modulation frequency f_m and A's rotation sense can be determined.

During the processing of data, the effect associated with the ellipticity of the orbit needs to be considered, because the distance between A and B and hence the travel time for the energy flux changes with orbital phase. A correction is necessary before using equations (1)-(3).

3 DETERMINING THE SENSE OF ROTATION OF PULSAR B

Apparently, if all of the subscripts $_a$ are replaced by $_b$, Table 1 will change to Table 2, and then our technique can be used to determine the sense of rotation of pulsar B.

Because the orbital plane of the system is nearly edge-on, A is eclipsed once by B per orbit and A's signal is simultaneously modulated by B (McLaughlin et al. 2004b). However, this modulation signal cannot be used to determine the B's rotation sense, because this modulation phenomenon arises from other mechanism and hence the signal relationships differ from that in Table 2.

However, we estimate and expect that A's modulation signal modulated by B can also be found in the non-eclipse phase, although the modulation amplitude may be very small. A modulation signal of about 60 s has been detected in the signal of the Crab pulsar (Čadež & Galičič 1996; Čadež et al. 2001). By employing their or our method, it is possible to find a modulation signal from A modulated by B in the non-eclipse phase. Assuming that this modulation signal can be detected, similarly as above, its modulation frequency f_m will also be a little higher or lower than B's pulse frequency f_b. The frequency offset ratio between f_m and f_b is about $\pm 0.03\%$. Because this frequency offset ratio is relatively large than that in Table 1 and A's data

Table 2. Signal relationships for A's signal modulated by the emission from B.

	lighthouse model		other model
B's rotation sense relative to its orbit	same	opposite	arbitrary
modulation period of A p_m	$p_o p_b/(p_o - p_b)$	$p_o p_b/(p_o + p_b)$	p_b [a]
modulation frequency of A f_m	$f_b - 1/p_o$	$f_b + 1/p_o$	f_b
frequency offset $\Delta f = f_m - f_b$	$-1/p_o$	$1/p_o$	0
frequency offset ratio $\alpha = \Delta f/f_b$	-0.03%	$+0.03\%$	0

[a] p_b and f_b are the respective rotation period and frequency of pulsar B.

are relatively ample, perhaps, B's rotation sense may be determined easily.

When B's spin sense is determined using the data in the non-eclipse phase, the data segments corresponding to the eclipse phases should be discarded. Otherwise, the signal-to-noise ratio and the confidence level will be depressed.

4 DISCUSSION

McLaughlin et al. (2004a) presented a modulation pattern similar to drifting subpulses in the signal of pulsar B and reported that the fluctuation frequency is exactly the beat frequency between the p_a and p_b. This result suggests that B's modulation frequency f_m is exactly equal to f_m^0 ($=f_a$) rather than f_m^+ or f_m^-. It is damaging evidence for the lighthouse model though it may be caused by the imprecise determination. In order to find out whether the f_m is really exactly equal to f_a, more precise determinations should be considered. Perhaps, our technique may be helpful for this purpose.

The double pulsar system provides three accurate timing parameters, p_a, p_b, and p_o. In addition, because the two signals from A and B modulate each other, two modulational parameters also provide insight into the nature of pulsars. If the relationships among the five parameters are fully exploited, the lighthouse model can be confirmed or falsified beyond all doubt.

F09 announced that details of measurements and timing analysis will be presented. We hope that their result will be published as early as possible. If our technique can also bring a result with a sufficient confidence level, the results brought by both techniques can provide a mutual confirmation and dependability.

If a prediction must be put forward, we rashly predict that the result reported by McLaughlin et al. (2004a), i.e. B's modulation frequency f_m is exactly equal to f_a, will reappear with higher confidence level, and hence the lighthouse model will be ruled out.

We propose that teams interested in this issue verify our technique, even if for the sake of consolidating the position of the lighthouse model in pulsar studies.

REFERENCES

Burgay M. et al., 2003, Nature, 426, 531

Čadež A., Galičič M., 1996, A&A, 306, 443

Čadež A., Vidrih S., Galičič M., Carramiñana A., 2001, A&A, 366, 930

Freire P. C. C. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1764

Lyne A.G. et al., 2004, Science, 303, 1153

McLaughlin M. A. et al., 2004a, ApJ, 613L, 57

McLaughlin M. A. et al., 2004b, ApJ, 616L, 131